

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

RUBEN MATADAMOS-SERRANO,  
Plaintiff(s),  
v.  
CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEF.,  
Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:22-cv-00757-GMN-NJK

## ORDER

11 Through this action, Plaintiff appears to be seeking mandamus relief from the Nevada  
12 Supreme Court. *See, e.g.*, Docket No. 1-1 at 1. Indeed, the captions for all of Plaintiff's filings  
13 are addressed to the Nevada Supreme Court. *See, e.g.*, Docket No. 1 at 1. Moreover, Plaintiff  
14 appears to have believed that he was mailing his papers to the Nevada Supreme Court. Docket  
15 No. 1-1 at 26 (envelope). Plaintiff is advised that he did not file his papers with the Nevada  
16 Supreme Court and that he instead mailed them to the United States District Court.<sup>1</sup>

Plaintiff is hereby **ORDERED** to clarify the Court from which he seeks relief by June 23, 2022. To the extent Plaintiff seeks relief from the Nevada Supreme Court, he may file a notice of voluntary dismissal of this action and refile his request for mandamus relief directly with the Nevada Supreme Court. **Failure to respond to this order will result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 9, 2022

Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>27</sup> A federal district court does not have appellate jurisdiction over a state court, whether by direct appeal, mandamus, or otherwise. See, e.g., *Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co.*, 263 U.S. 413 (1923).